

**Vatnik Suppen auf Deutsch** @vatniksoup\_de

Apr 5, 2026 · 9 tweets · [vatniksoup\\_de/status/2040770785145479668](https://twitter.com/vatniksoup_de/status/2040770785145479668)

In der 8. Folge von Entlarvung des Tages befassen wir uns mit Lügen bezüglich der Finanzierung der NATO. Laut Vatniks finanziert die USA die 🇺🇸 Verteidigung, was zu Forderungen nach einem Austritt der USA führt. Das würde es Putin erleichtern, weitere Länder zu überfallen.

1/9



Aber die NATO selbst kostet fast nichts. Der Kern der NATO ist ein Prinzip, eine Vereinbarung. Die wahren Kosten sind die Verteidigungsausgaben, die die USA ohnehin eifrig tätigen: Trump hat eine 50%ige Erhöhung der Militärausgaben für sein „Kriegsministerium“ angekündigt.

2/9

## Trump aides struggle with how to spend \$500 billion more on military

The White House budget chief was among those who internally objected to the defense secretary's plan to increase military spending by roughly 50 percent, people familiar with the matter said.

Updated February 21, 2026



Secretary of State Marco Rubio, left, and Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth face reporters on Capitol Hill last month. (Sarah L. Voisin/The Washington Post)

By [Jeff Stein](#) and [Dan Lamothe](#)

Trump administration officials have struggled to figure out how to increase U.S. military spending by a whopping \$500 billion in their forthcoming budget, slowing the overall White House spending plan, four people familiar with the matter said.

President Donald Trump last month agreed to a roughly 50 percent funding boost sought by Pete Hegseth, the defense secretary, in the White House's annual budget proposal. The idea ran into internal criticism from several other officials, including White House budget chief Russell Vought, who warned about its potential impact on the widening federal deficit, said the people, who spoke on the condition of anonymity to reflect internal deliberations.

## Trump calls for US military spending to rise more than 50% to \$1.5tn

8 January 2026

Osmond Chia  
Business reporter

Share  Save 



President Donald Trump has called for US defence spending to be increased to \$1.5tn (£1.1tn) in 2027 for what he called "these very troubled and dangerous times".

That would be more than 50% higher than this year's \$901bn budget, which was approved by Congress in December.

"This will allow us to build the 'Dream Military' that we have long been entitled to and, more importantly, that will keep us SAFE and SECURE, regardless of foe," Trump said on social media on Wednesday.

President Donald Trump has called for US defence spending to be increased to \$1.5tn (£1.1tn) in 2027 for what he called "these very troubled and dangerous times".

That would be more than 50% higher than this year's \$901bn budget, which was approved by Congress in December.


"This will allow us to build the 'Dream Military' that we have long been entitled to and, more importantly, that will keep us SAFE and SECURE, regardless of foe," Trump said on social media on Wednesday.

In separate posts, the president said he would crack down on payouts to bosses and shareholders of major US defence contractors unless the firms speed up deliveries of armaments and build new manufacturing plants.

Shares in major US defence equipment makers Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman and Raytheon rose by more than 5% in extended trading in New York trade after Trump made the announcements.

Economists have previously warned that the gap between US spending and its income has reached unsustainable levels.

But Trump said Washington can "easily hit" his proposed \$1.5tn defence budget thanks to money being brought in by tariffs.

Um Zwietracht zu säen & das Bündnis zu schwächen, vermischen Vatniks bewusst verschiedene Zahlen, zB Beiträge zum gemeinsamen NATO-Haushalt mit Verteidigungsausgaben. Dabei sind die US-Militärausgaben schon deshalb enorm, weil die  Volkswirtschaft die größte der Welt ist.



<https://twitter.com/i/web/status/2040770859032354913>

News Article ⓘ

## How Much Has NATO Cost the US Over the Past 75 Years?

PUBLISHED

APR 04, 2024 AT 05:00 AM EDT

UPDATED

APR 04, 2024 AT 05:12 AM EDT



By **Alexander Fabino**

Reporter, Economy & Finance

0

Share

Newsweek is a Trust Project member

As the North Atlantic Treaty Organization ([NATO](#)) marks its 75th anniversary, a reflective look into the contributions of its founding member, the United States, reveals its important role, with more than 68 percent of last year's total budget coming from the U.S. alone.

Wait, why are American taxpayers covering 2/3 of the defense costs of Europe? That doesn't make sense.

Defense from who? <https://t.co/Q4wbPdf3sr>

— Elon Musk (@elonmusk) February 19, 2025

## Direct funding of NATO






































US share is 15%  
of that: 0.8 billion

NATO has annual budgets and programmes worth around EUR 4.6 billion in 2025 (representing 0.3% of total Allied defence spending), and up to EUR 5.3 billion in 2026, which inter alia support its permanent military command structure, enable its current operations and missions, and provide essential military infrastructure (including air and naval basing facilities, satellite communications, fuel pipelines, and command and control systems). This direct funding comes principally in two forms: common funding and joint funding. It can also come in the form of trust funds, contributions in kind, ad hoc sharing arrangements and donations.

Die hohen Sozialausgaben in Europe werden finanziert durch... höhere Steuern, nicht mit US-Geldern. Und die 🇪🇺 Verteidigungsausgaben steigen gerade rasch an aufgrund der brutalen 🇷🇺 Invasion der Ukraine & der hybriden Operationen im Rest Europas.


## Tax-to-GDP ratios in OECD countries

Total tax revenue as % of GDP in 2023

 France	43.8%
 Denmark	43.4%
 Italy	42.8%
 Austria	42.7%
 Belgium	42.6%
 Finland	42.4%
 Norway	41.4%
 Sweden	41.4%
 Luxembourg	40.9%
 Greece	39.8%
 Netherlands	38.5%
 Germany	38.1%
 Spain	37.3%
 Slovenia	36.9%
 Iceland	35.9%
 Portugal	35.8%
 Slovakia	35.5%
 United Kingdom	35.3%
 Poland	35.1%
 Canada	34.8%
 Hungary	34.2%
 New Zealand	34.0%
 OECD average	33.9%
 Czechia	33.7%
 Estonia	33.5%
 Lithuania	32.6%
 Latvia	31.9%
 Israel	29.8%
 Korea	28.9%
 Switzerland	27.1%
 United States	25.2%
 Costa Rica	24.9%
 Türkiye	23.5%
 Colombia	22.2%
 Ireland	21.9%
 Chile	20.6%
 Mexico	17.7%

Data for Australia and Japan is not yet available for 2023.

Table: Query • Source: OECD Revenue Statistics 2024 • Created with Datawrapper



In Wirklichkeit finanzieren Deutschland und die USA genau denselben Anteil des NATO-Haushalts. Die wahren Trittbrettfahrer sind hier eher Österreich, die Schweiz und Liechtenstein, die das Glück haben, von der NATO umgeben zu sein und es sich leisten können neutral zu sein.

# Who is paying for NATO in 2026?

(not the national Defense budgets!)

UNITED KINGDOM € 530,086,609	GERMANY € 767.282,600	USA € 767.282,580
FRANCE € 530,086,609	ITALY € 411,469,885	CANADA € 338.983,229
TÜRKIYE € 324,388,082	SPAIN € 297.473.292	NETHERLANDS € 180,259,075
SWEDEN € 101,867,433	BELGIUM € 106,650,111	POLAND € 174,076,087
NORWAY € 86,505,205	CZECHIA € 59,842,677	DENMARK € 66,257,334
ROMANIA € 82,875,724	PORTUGAL € 55,090,888	FINLAND € 47,852,519
FINLAND € 47,852,519	GREECE € 47,507,590	HUNGARY € 44,315,706
SLOVAKIA € 44,315,706	CROATIA € 18,147,405	SLOVENIA € 12,829,314
BULGARIA € 23,146,307	LITHUANIA € 15,475,489	LATVIA € 9,045,387
ALBANIA € 5,575,501	ESTONIA € 7,361,926	LUXEMBOURG € 8,818,867
ICELAND € 4,370,822	NORTH MACEDONIA € 4,175,190	MONTENEGRO € 1,863,648

Die NATO-Staaten sind nicht verpflichtet mit Trumps verschwenderischen & impulsiven Ausgaben für illegale Namensänderungen oder seine größtenwahnsinnigen, nach ihm benannten Kriegsschiffe Schritt zu halten. Nur ein winziger Teil des US-Militärbudgets wird in Europa verwendet.



SUBSCRIBE

SIGN IN

POLITICS | POLICY

# Trump Seeks \$1.5 Trillion for Military, the Largest Request in Modern History

Proposal calls for cuts to nonmilitary spending, including funding for education and the EPA

By [Lindsay Ellis](#) [Follow](#), [Marcus Weisgerber](#) [Follow](#) and [Gavin Bade](#) [Follow](#)

Updated April 3, 2026 at 3:22 pm ET



Share




Resize



1177



Listen (2 min)



The proposal includes boosted spending on critical munitions. RACHEL WISNIEWSKI/BLOOMBERG NEWS

# NEWS

## Trump unveils plans for 'Golden Fleet' battleships named after himself



Watch: Trump announces new class of Navy battleships

**Bernd Debusmann Jr**

at Mar-a-Lago


23 December 2025

**President Donald Trump has announced that the US will commission a new series of heavily armed Navy "battleships" named after himself, as part of a revamped "Golden Fleet".**

NATIONAL SECURITY

## Trump's Pentagon name change could cost up to \$2 billion

Officially changing the Department of Defense to the Department of War can only be done by Congress and would require updating thousands of signs, rewriting digital code and creating new letterheads, placards and badges.



Get more news **LIVE** on NBC NEWS NOW. >

SHARE ADD NBC NEWS TO GOOGLE

Nov. 12, 2025, 2:46 PM GMT / Updated Nov. 12, 2025, 2:48 PM GMT

**By Gordon Lubold, Courtney Kube and Carol E. Lee**

WASHINGTON – President Donald Trump’s [directive](#) to change the name of the Department of Defense to the Department of War could cost as much as \$2 billion, according to six people with knowledge of the potential cost.

Die Kosten für die Mitgliedschaft in der NATO sind fast null... es sei denn, es käme zu einer Kriegserklärung. Je mehr starke Mitglieder die NATO hat, desto unwahrscheinlicher ist es, dass uns jemand angreift. Artikel 5 wurde nur 1x geltend gemacht – von den USA nach 09/11.

7/9



<https://twitter.com/i/web/status/2040770904548925628>

POLITICS | UNITED KINGDOM

## Outrage as Trump undermines NATO role in Afghanistan war

Rana Taha with AFP, AP, Reuters  
01/25/2026

President Trump suggested US allies in NATO were "off the front lines" during the war in Afghanistan. London has strongly opposed the suggestion, which comes amid tensions between the US and some NATO members.



Trump has argued NATO would not defend the US if needed — despite all of them having done so after the terror attacks of September 11, 2001

Image: Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

US President [Donald Trump](#) has further flared the [already tense transatlantic relationship](#), suggesting in an interview on Thursday that [NATO](#) allies would not come to Washington's support if asked — despite all of them responding the only time NATO's mutual defense clause was invoked.

Speaking to Fox News from Davos, Trump said, "I'm not sure" if NATO allies would be there "if we ever needed them and that's really the ultimate test."

"We've never needed them, we have never really asked anything of them. You know, they'll say they sent some troops to [Afghanistan](#), or this or that, and they did, they stayed a little back, a little off the front lines," he added.

Article 5 of NATO's founding treaty stipulates that if one member of the alliance is attacked, all other members should come to its defense. [NATO's mission to Afghanistan](#) was the only time this Article was activated — a step that was taken by the United States.

Britain said the US president was "wrong to diminish" the role of NATO troops in Afghanistan.

The US Department of Defense says the US lost some 2,460 troops in Afghanistan. In addition, 457 British troops, over 150 Canadian troops, 90 French service personnel, 62 German soldiers and 44 Danish troops were also killed. The latter is among NATO's highest per-capita deaths.

Die NATO verursacht nur dann Kosten, wenn NATO-Länder angegriffen werden – und selbst dann ist sie sicher immer noch kostengünstiger als die Alternative. Der einzige, der vom Austritt eines Landes aus der NATO profitiert, ist wer auch immer plant NATO-Länder anzugreifen.

8/9



**NATO threatens Russia**

**like a lock threatens a thief**

19 FEB 2025, 07:36

## Musk wonders why American taxpayers have to cover Europe's defense costs

US President Donald Trump has repeatedly criticized NATO's activities

WASHINGTON, February 19. /TASS/. It's not clear why the American people have to pay for European security, entrepreneur Elon Musk, who heads the US Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE), said.

"Wait, why are American taxpayers covering 2/3 of the defense costs of Europe? That doesn't make sense. Defense from who?" he wrote on the X social media platform.

US President Donald Trump has repeatedly criticized NATO's activities. Even before his election, Trump threatened to withdraw the United States from the North Atlantic Alliance unless European allies assumed greater financial responsibility for their own security. US Secretary of Defense Pete Hegseth warned at the February 12 meeting of a Western group coordinating weapons supplies to Ukraine that Europe should ensure its security on its own.



Russland gibt jedes Jahr Milliarden für Propaganda wie diese Lügen aus, um es für sich billiger & einfacher zu machen, auf Kosten aller anderen Kriege zu beginnen. Helfen Sie uns, dagegen anzukämpfen. Unterstützen Sie unsere Arbeit:

9/9

**Bekämpfen Sie Desinformation: Unterstützen Sie unsere Arbeit | Vatnik Sup...**  
Vatnik Suppe: Deine umfassendste Ressource zu pro-kremlischer Desinformation und Propaganda.  
<https://vatniksoup.com/de/support-our-work/>

...